

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE

AT SACRAMENTO

2019–20 REGULAR SESSION

**SENATE
FILE**

GOVERNOR'S VETOES

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 15, 2019

Compiled Under the Direction of

ERIKA CONTRERAS
Secretary of the Senate

By

HOLLY HUMMELT
Daily File Clerk

and

CLAUDIA FUENTES
Assistant Daily File Clerk

(Please report any errors or omissions to Daily File Clerk: Phone 916–651–4171)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VETOES

Governor's Vetoes 4

GOVERNOR'S VETOES

1

S.B. No. 701—Jones.

An act relating to firearms.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 701 without my signature.

This bill would reorganize statutes governing the prohibition of firearm possession due to an outstanding warrant when the person has knowledge of the warrant. Additionally, this bill would reduce the penalty for violating this prohibition.

Current law requires knowledge that a warrant has been issued before a prohibition on possessing a firearm applies. Further, I believe existing penalties provide the necessary tools to protect public safety and allow for needed discretion to impose appropriate penalties when justified.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Jul. 30—Shall Senate Bill 701 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

2

S.B. No. 1—Atkins et al.

An act relating to public welfare.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 1 without my signature.

This bill would enact the California Environmental, Public Health, and Workers Defense Act of 2019 with the intent of ensuring that protections afforded under federal environmental and labor laws and regulations as of January 2017, could remain in place in the event of federal regulatory changes.

California is a leader in the fight for resource, environmental, and worker protections. Since 2017, the federal government has repeatedly tried to override and invalidate those protections, and each time, the state has aggressively countered - taking immediate legal action and deploying every tool at the state's disposal to safeguard our natural resources, environmental protections and workers. No other state has fought harder to defeat Trump's environmental policies, and that will continue to be the case.

While I disagree about the efficacy and necessity of Senate Bill 1, I look forward to working with the Legislature in our shared fight against the weakening of California's environmental and worker protections.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Sep. 27—Shall Senate Bill 1 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

3

S.B. No. 64—Chang et al.

An act relating to animals.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 64 without my signature.

SB 64 requires a public animal control agency or shelter to microchip a dog or cat with current information before releasing it to a person who is seeking to reclaim it, or before providing the pet to a new family.

I am supportive of the important objective of this legislation to reunite more pets with their families and thereby decrease the number of euthanized animals in California. However, by requiring microchipping as a condition of reclaiming a pet, this bill has the unintended consequence of creating a burden for those who may already be struggling with the basic costs of caring for their pets and thereby do not have the financial capacity to pay for the microchip implant and the annual fees.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Sep. 27—Shall Senate Bill 64 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

4

S.B. No. 232—Dodd et al.

An act relating to hazardous substances.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 232 without my signature.

This bill increases the permissible heavy metal limit in recycled glass from 100 parts per million (ppm) to 200 ppm until January 1, 2024.

While I have been a strong supporter of increasing our recycling efforts in California, I do not believe we should risk potential exposure to toxic substances during the process.

This bill would allow increased heavy metal levels in glass packaging, which may result in unsafe toxic exposures. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have unequivocally stated that there is no safe level of lead exposure, especially for vulnerable populations like our children. We need to ensure that the food products we give to our children are in glass containers that are safe for consumption.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Sep. 27—Shall Senate Bill 232 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

5

S.B. No. 628—Caballero et al.
An act relating to transportation.
Vote required: 27

To Members of the California Senate:

I am returning the following bills without my signature:

AB 449
SB 628

These bills would direct revenue from the sale of excess state highway properties to local transportation projects.

Existing law establishes a process for programming transportation projects and directs revenues from sales of excess Caltrans property to the General Fund to be used to pay for transportation debt service.

These bills create an exception to existing law that would negatively impact the General Fund by millions of dollars. If other jurisdictions are provided similar exceptions, the General Fund would be exposed to additional revenue losses in the future.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Sep. 27—Shall Senate Bill 628 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

6

S.B. No. 577—Hueso.

An act relating to state government.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 577 without my signature.

This bill requires the Board of Equalization (BOE) to provide information to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration when requested.

BOE already lawfully performs the activities that this bill requires; therefore, the bill is unnecessary.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 9—Shall Senate Bill 577 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

7

S.B. No. 696—Umberg.

An act relating to elections, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 696 without my signature.

This bill will require any existing political party that uses a variation of the phrase “no party preference” or “decline to state,” or the word or variation of the word “independent” in its name, to change its name or to lose its qualification as a political party.

The American Independent Party of California has been using that name for more than 50 years. This bill would force that entity to change the name it has used since its inception. By requiring one existing political party to change its current name, this bill could be interpreted as a violation of the rights of free speech and association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution.

For this reason, I cannot sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 9—Shall Senate Bill 696 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

8

S.B. No. 468—Jackson et al.

An act relating to taxation.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 468 without my signature.

The bill creates the California Tax Expenditure Review Board to comprehensively assess specified major tax expenditures and make recommendations to the Legislature.

I support greater transparency with respect to tax credits, exemptions, and other expenditures and believe these items should be scrutinized periodically to justify their overall cost to the state's revenue base. However, creating a new board to accomplish that goal is unnecessary. The Department of Finance is currently required to publish tax expenditure reports and existing law requires new income tax expenditures to specify goals, performance indicators, and data collection requirements. For these reasons, I am returning this bill without my signature.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 11—Shall Senate Bill 468 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

9

S.B. No. 42—Skinner et al.
An act relating to jails.
Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 42 without my signature.

Jails should not be releasing people onto the streets during overnight hours. This is simply an unsafe practice, resulting in many tragic and preventable outcomes over the years. At a very minimum, facilities should absolutely provide a safe place to wait and arrange safe transportation when late night discharges do occur.

However, this bill requires that individuals are permitted to stay in jail until morning if desired, therefore creating a significant state reimbursable mandate.

The bill's intent can be accomplished through a more tailored approach that does not put the state treasury on the hook for local jail operations costs which are a local responsibility.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 42 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

10

S.B. No. 127—Wiener et al.

An act relating to transportation.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 127 without my signature.

This bill creates a process to require the Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to add complete streets elements to certain projects on state highways.

I fully support improving facilities to increase walking, biking and accessing public transit. However, this bill creates a prescriptive and costly approach to achieve these objectives.

By implementing my Executive Order N-19-19, Caltrans is increasing and accelerating its investments in active transportation where appropriate and feasible. I am committed to holding the department accountable to deliver more alternatives to driving while continuing to maintain our state's highways and bridges. The new leadership we are putting in place at Caltrans will be key in implementing this vision and approach.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 127 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

11

S.B. No. 163—Portantino et al.

An act relating to health care coverage.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 163 without my signature.

This bill seeks to change the qualification standards necessary to be a qualified autism service professional or paraprofessional. When the Legislature enacted SB 946 (Steinberg, Chapter 650, Statutes of 2011), it clearly anticipated subsequent action to develop a comprehensive structure to license providers of behavioral health treatment to individuals with autism spectrum disorder. A formal licensing scheme that includes clinical expertise and administrative oversight is a more appropriate venue to address qualification standards for practitioners, ensure quality of care, and provide effective consumer protection. I encourage the Legislature to complete the work begun by SB 946.

In addition, by removing the health plan coverage exemption for contracts in the Medi-Cal program, this bill inadvertently creates conflicting requirements within the Medi-Cal program that could result in unintentional delays in access to care and jeopardizes continued receipt of federal financial participation for behavioral health treatment.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 163 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

12

S.B. No. 199—Hill.

An act relating to the Public Utilities Commission.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 199 without my signature.

This bill extends the sunset date for the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Office of the Safety Advocate (OSA) from January 1, 2020, to January 1, 2025. This bill also modifies the OSA's duties to include safety trainings for CPUC staff and requires the CPUC and OSA to report to the Legislature annually on their activities under this bill.

OSA was created in 2016 to advocate for and recommend improvements to utility safety policies adopted by CPUC. Over the past few years, several measures have aimed at improving utility safety and oversight, leading to the establishment and improvement of offices or divisions within the CPUC strictly focused on this critical mission. At this juncture, the CPUC is working to implement these new requirements, and the OSA is now duplicative of the many of the duties of the Office of the Energy Infrastructure Safety. Allowing the OSA to sunset does not mean that its important work will not continue. Rather, those duties will be effectively integrated into CPUC.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 199 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

13

S.B. No. 218—Bradford.

An act relating to discrimination.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 218 without my signature.

This bill would amend the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) to permit local jurisdictions in Los Angeles County to enact and enforce their own laws prohibiting employment discrimination as long as they are at a minimum as protective as the FEHA.

I am committed to combating and eradicating discrimination and have signed several measures this year to address discriminatory practices. However, I don't support lifting a preemption that has been in place for decades in the manner proposed in this bill. As crafted, this measure could create confusion, inconsistent enforcement of the law and increase costs without a corresponding increase in worker protections.

This bill leaves ambiguities about local governments' ability to enforce both local ordinances and FEHA. I invite the Legislature to come back with a measure that makes it clear that local enforcement measures are exclusively focused on local ordinances.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 218 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

14

S.B. No. 277—Beall et al.

An act relating to transportation.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 277 without my signature.

This bill would revise how the California Transportation Commission allocates Local Partnership Program funds to local and regional transportation agencies by distributing 85 percent of program funds by formula and 15 percent through a competitive grant program.

SB 1 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2018) doubled the annual funding to cities and counties by providing an additional \$1.5 billion annually, distributed on a per capita basis, to address maintenance and rehabilitation of local streets and roads. In addition, the legislation established the Local Partnership Program, which allocates \$200 million annually to benefit local entities that have imposed taxes and fees dedicated solely to transportation improvements. Through guidelines established by the California Transportation Commission, 50 percent of the Local Partnership Program funds are allocated by formula and 50 percent are competitively awarded.

The current approach to administer the Local Partnership Program provides a formulaic share for all entities that qualify, but also gives the Commission the flexibility to award funds on a competitive basis to ensure the program achieves statewide goals. Additional statutory limitations inhibit the state's ability to responsibly address emerging needs within the constitutionally defined parameters of SB 1, especially for small urban and rural communities.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 277 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

15

S.B. No. 284—Beall et al.

An act relating to juveniles.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 284 without my signature.

This bill increases the annual rate that a county must pay to the state to commit a juvenile to the Division of Juvenile Justice.

I applaud the author's commitment to promoting effective rehabilitation for the youth in our criminal justice system. I disagree, however, that a financial disincentive to counties is necessarily the right approach to managing our state-level population.

I have initiated the transfer of the Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) to the California Health and Human Services Agency, and the Administration is working on the creation of a new Department of Youth and Community Restoration (DYCR). This new department will, as DJJ does now, serve a specific cohort of high-need youth who have often times have been unable to receive needed services at the county level. It is important that any re-evaluation of what type of population is served at DYCR be done with this global shift in mind, and in a manner that does not enact a blanket financial disincentive when there may be more targeted ways to meet the author's goals.

I am committed to working with the Legislature on ensuring that the transformation of DJJ into DYCR is a success and that we manage this population of young Californians appropriately and with great care.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 284 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

16

S.B. No. 294—Hill.

An act relating to taxation.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 294 without my signature.

This bill would revise the current property tax welfare exemption for nonprofits that own and operate non-publicly financed affordable housing developments by increasing the current exemption for nonprofits from \$20 million to \$100 million and reducing the current low-income tenancy threshold from 90 percent to 50 percent for ten years. The bill would also allow outstanding unpaid property tax bills to be reduced or potentially forgiven on qualified properties.

While well intended, and specific to certain nonprofit entities that provide affordable housing, this bill makes changes to the property tax welfare exemption that could have significant long-term General Fund costs and reduced local revenue. In addition to tax exemptions under current law, properties that are in need of assistance to maintain long-term affordability have access to a range of state and local preservation financing programs.

Sustaining affordable housing in a fiscally responsible manner for the long-term is a goal I share with the Legislature. Although this bill is not the solution, I am committed to working with the Legislature on bolstering existing programs and tailoring them to produce and preserve the State's much needed affordable housing stock.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 294 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

17

S.B. No. 305—Hueso et al.

An act relating to health care facilities.

Vote required: 27

To Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 305 without my signature.

This bill would require a health care facility to allow a terminally ill patient to use medical cannabis within the health care facility. Patients who are hospitalized and facing the end of their days should be provided with relief, compassion, and dignity.

California voters passed the Compassionate Use Act over two decades ago to allow for the medical use of cannabis. Since then, 32 more states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands have enacted similar laws. It is inconceivable that the federal government continues to regard cannabis as having no medicinal value. The federal government's ludicrous stance puts patients and those who care for them in an unconscionable position.

Nonetheless, health facilities certified to receive payment from the federal Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services must comply with all federal laws in order to receive federal reimbursement for the services they provide. This bill would create significant conflicts between federal and state law that cannot be taken lightly. Therefore, I begrudgingly veto this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 305 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

18

S.B. No. 382—Nielsen et al.
An act relating to Medi-Cal.
Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 382 without my signature.

This bill would require Medi-Cal managed care health plans (MCPs) to ensure that members who remain in a general acute care hospital continue to receive medically necessary post-acute care services at the general acute care hospital, during a Governor-declared state of emergency, if specified requirements are met.

While the intent of this bill to ensure the MCP members who are impacted by an emergency continue to receive medically necessary care is admirable, it is also unnecessary. MCPs are responsible for ensuring the delivery of medically necessary services, even if they are unable to locate a post-acute care facility to which the member could be transferred. As such, members of an MCP would continue to receive needed care in a general acute care hospital during a state of emergency should access to post-acute care services be unavailable elsewhere.

However, to the extent that there are scenarios where a MCP has not appropriately reimbursed a general acute care hospital, I encourage the Legislature to work with my administration to address any such issues.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 382 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

19

S.B. No. 518—Wieckowski.

An act relating to civil actions.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 518 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit pretrial settlement offers in California Public Records Act (CPRA) litigation.

While transparency is essential to maintaining trust in the work of public agencies, this bill does little to advance that ideal. Preventing public agencies from making good-faith efforts to settle litigation by providing additional records that may have been inadvertently overlooked or mistakenly withheld actually delays timely disclosure. This legislation would provide a perverse incentive for more litigation instead of more transparency.

For these reasons, I cannot support this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 518 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

20

S.B. No. 531—Glazer et al.

An act relating to local government.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 531 without my signature.

This bill would prohibit a local agency from entering into any agreement that would result, directly or indirectly, in a rebate of the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax revenues to a retailer that locates or maintains a place of sale within the jurisdiction of that local agency.

Current use of these tax agreements are limited but also an important local tool that captures additional economic activity, particularly in rural and inland California cities that continue to face significant economic challenges like high unemployment rates. Therefore, completely removing these tax options from local decision makers is the wrong approach.

I do support greater oversight with respect to the use of these tax agreements and have signed Assembly Bill 485, which will increase transparency regarding the economic outcomes that result from these types of agreements. This will allow the state to better understand the nature of the agreements between local jurisdictions and businesses, as well as the challenges and obstacles to inclusive growth.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 531 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

21

S.B. No. 558—Hueso et al.

An act relating to California-Mexico affairs.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 558 without my signature.

This bill would establish a new Commission on California-Mexico Affairs to serve as a public stakeholder body on cultural, economic, and environmental issues related to the California-Mexico border and issues of mutual concern to California, the United Mexican States, the State of Baja California, and the State of Baja California Sur.

These are important goals that we have been working collaboratively to address, which is why I issued an Executive Order establishing the International Affairs and Trade Development Interagency Committee earlier this year. The Committee has focused on California's relationship with Mexico and already taken steps to reestablish a formal presence for California in Mexico. In addition to the work of the Committee, the California-Mexico Border Relations Council, established in 2006, also serves as the central organizing body that coordinates cross-border programs, initiatives, projects and partnerships for the State.

While I respect the intent of the bill, its provisions are duplicative of the existing Council, recent efforts undertaken by Lieutenant Governor Eleni Kounalakis as Chair of the International Affairs and Trade Development Interagency Committee, and efforts already underway to reestablish the Commission of the Californias (ComCal). I look forward to continued collaboration with the Legislature and key stakeholders under this framework to work at the border and beyond with California's most important international partner.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 558 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

22

S.B. No. 589—Bates et al.

An act relating to substance abuse programs.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 589 without my signature.

This bill would establish several prohibitions related to the advertisement of substance use disorder services by the operator of a licensed or certified alcoholism or drug abuse recovery or treatment facility, a recovery residence, or a third party that provides any advertising or marketing services or directory listings to any of those entities.

While it is important to protect vulnerable patients and their families from unethical marketing practices, I am concerned that as crafted, this measure creates a false promise. The Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) has no jurisdiction or licensing oversight over recovery residences or third parties. As such, it cannot take enforcement against those entities for violations of advertisement requirements.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 589 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

23

S.B. No. 598—Moorlach et al.

An act relating to public agency financial reporting.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 598 without my signature.

This bill establishes the Open Financial Statement Commission within the State Treasurer's Office and requires the Commission to report to the Legislature regarding how and whether to transition to state and local agencies' financial reporting to a machine-readable format.

Although improving public agencies' financial reporting processes for transparency is vital, this bill imposes additional unbudgeted costs for the state and contains implementation provisions that are problematic.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 598 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

24

S.B. No. 611—Caballero et al.
An act relating to housing.
Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning the following bills without my signature:

AB 1382
SB 611

These bills create an aging housing task force and a master plan for aging that focuses on workforce priorities, and require the state to consider applying to join a voluntary network.

Earlier this year, I issued Executive Order N-14-19, which directs the Secretary of the Health and Human Services Agency to work with a broad array of stakeholders, including the Legislature, to develop a Master Plan for Aging to serve as a blueprint that can be used by state government, local communities, private organizations and philanthropy to build environments that promote healthy aging. Issues relating to workforce and affordable housing needs, as well as opportunities to engage with other jurisdictions, will be considered as part of this holistic approach to addressing the needs of older Californians.

When the Master Plan is completed, I look forward to working with the Legislature to evaluate and implement its recommendations.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 611 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

25

S.B. No. 695—Portantino.

An act relating to special education.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 695 without my signature.

This bill requires a local educational agency (LEA), upon a parent's request, to translate a student's individualized education plan (IEP) and other related documents to the native language of the parent within 30 calendar days of the IEP team meeting.

Current law already requires that non-English speaking parents and guardians understand their child's IEP, and LEAs must take any action needed to ensure that pupil's non-English speaking parent understands the IEP process and LEAs must also provide any materials used to assess or place a student with exceptional needs in the parent's native language.

By establishing more prescriptive requirements, particularly specifying a 30-day timeline within which those documents must be translated, the bill would exceed the requirements of federal law (the Individuals with Disabilities Act), thereby creating a costly reimbursable state mandate that will reduce funding available to support broader educational programs for these students.

If a California school district's practices of providing translation services are inadequate, avenues already exist to remedy these problems.

For these reasons, I cannot support this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 12—Shall Senate Bill 695 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

26

S.B. No. 5—Beall et al.

An act relating to local government finance.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 5 without my signature.

This bill would establish the Affordable Housing and Community Development Investment Program through which local agencies may redirect property tax revenue for schools to fund affordable housing and related infrastructure.

California is in a housing crisis, and I have consistently maintained we need to use all the tools in our toolbox to address it. However, this bill would increase costs by \$2 billion annually once fully implemented. Legislation with such a significant fiscal impact needs to be part of budget deliberations so that it can be considered in light of other priorities.

I will continue to work collaboratively with the Legislature next year to continue to support increased housing production at all income levels across our state.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 5 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

27

S.B. No. 10—Beall et al.

An act relating to mental health.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 10 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to establish a new state certification program for mental health and substance use disorder peer support specialists.

Peer support services can play an important role in meeting individuals' behavioral health care needs by pairing those individuals with trained "peers" who offer assistance with navigating local community behavioral health systems and provide needed support. Currently, counties may opt to use peer support services for the delivery of Medicaid specialty mental health services.

As the Administration, in partnership with the Legislature and counties, works to transform the state's behavioral health care delivery system, we have an opportunity to more comprehensively include peer support services in these transformation plans. I look forward to working with you on these transformations efforts in the budget process and future legislation, as improving the state of the state's behavioral health system is a critical priority for me.

This proposal comes with significant costs that should be considered in the budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 10 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

28

S.B. No. 35—Chang et al.

An act relating to human trafficking.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 35 without my signature.

This bill would reestablish the California Alliance to Combat Trafficking and Slavery (California ACTS) for the purpose of gathering data on the nature and extent of human trafficking in California.

This bill's goals are laudable, and I share the author and proponents' concerns around the scourge of human trafficking in California. Through this year's budget we have invested in services for victims of trafficking, as well as studies on the scope of the problem in certain high incidence counties.

However, any new or reconstituted taskforce such as the one envisioned by the bill should be considered and evaluated through the budget process, not stand-alone legislation.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 35 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

29

S.B. No. 139—Allen et al.

An act relating to elections.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 139 without my signature.

This bill requires a county with more than 400,000 residents to establish an independent redistricting commission tasked with adopting the county's supervisorial districts following each federal decennial census.

While I agree these commissions can be an important tool in preventing gerrymandering, local jurisdictions are already authorized to establish independent, advisory or hybrid redistricting commissions. Moreover, this measure constitutes a clear mandate for which the state may be required to reimburse counties pursuant to the California Constitution and should therefore be considered in the annual budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 139 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

30

S.B. No. 154—Pan.

An act relating to Medi-Cal.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 154 without my signature.

This bill would allow Medi-Cal dental providers to provide and be reimbursed for the application of silver diamine fluoride when used as an arresting agent for cavities on a per-tooth basis to prevent further decay, and under specified conditions.

Expanding the options available for treating dental decay is a worthwhile policy goal, but this bill would require significant General Fund spending not included in the state budget. As such, this change should be considered in the annual budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 154 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

31

S.B. No. 184—Moorlach et al.

An act relating to judges' retirement, and making an appropriation therefor.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 184 without my signature.

This bill would lower the minimum age at which a judge who is a member of the Judges' Retirement System (JRS) II can retire from judicial service and still maintain eligibility for full pension benefits. Specifically, SB 184 would allow a judge to leave the bench at age 60, retire, and defer receiving a monthly defined benefit allowance until the judge reaches age 65 (with at least 20 years of service) or age 70 (with at least five years of service) - as long as all other current requirements for receiving retirement benefits are met.

The costs of modifying the current rules on judicial retirement as proposed in SB 184 are steep and would in some cases result in a judge receiving more generous benefits than what the same judge can currently receive. These concerns are not new and have been raised in previous iterations of this bill. It is also difficult to overlook the possibility that current rules may in some cases incentivize judges who are in poor health to prolong their service rather than retire and care for themselves or others.

I encourage the Legislature to work collaboratively with my Administration as well as the California Public Employees' Retirement System on a more narrow solution to these issues.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 184 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

32

S.B. No. 202—Wilk et al.

An act relating to animals.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 202 without my signature.

This bill permits commercial blood banks for animals to collect blood from community-sourced animal blood and imposes rules around the collection of community-sourced animal blood.

I am supportive of changing California's law governing animal blood donation. However, this bill does not go far enough. I ask that the Legislature send me legislation that effectively leads to the phasing-out of "closed colonies," where dogs are kept in cages for months and years to harvest their blood for sale. The legislation should provide for the safe and humane treatment of donor animals, the welfare of the recipients and adequate oversight and enforcement of this program.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 202 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

33

S.B. No. 212—Allen et al.

An act relating to elections.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 212 without my signature.

This bill authorizes general law cities, counties, and school districts to conduct a local election using ranked choice voting.

Ranked choice is an experiment that has been tried in several charter cities in California. Where it has been implemented, I am concerned that it has often led to voter confusion, and that the promise that ranked choice voting leads to greater democracy is not necessarily fulfilled. The state would benefit from learning more from charter cities who use ranked choice voting before broadly expanding the system.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 212 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

34

S.B. No. 268—Wiener et al.

An act relating to elections.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 268 without my signature.

This bill makes modifications to ballot label requirements and notification requirements to voters for a local measure that imposes or increases a tax with more than one rate or authorizes the issuance of bonds.

I am concerned that this bill as crafted will reduce transparency for local tax and bond measures.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 268 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

35

S.B. No. 296—Allen et al.

An act relating to student financial aid.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 296 without my signature.

This bill expands Cal Grant program eligibility to include specified students who have filed an application for asylum and meet other requirements, including all other Cal Grant program eligibility requirements.

California has progressively expanded access to financial aid and non-resident tuition for immigrant and refugee students, including in the 2019 Budget Act. This year's budget also invests in legal supports and shelter funding to assist asylum seekers, including a family reunification pilot.

This proposal would impose costs on the General Fund that must be weighed in the annual budget process.

For these reasons, I am unable to sign this bill.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 296 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

36

S.B. No. 337—Skinner et al.

An act relating to child support.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 337 without my signature:

Senate Bill 337 would increase the amount of child support passed through to families receiving California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) assistance.

Reducing child poverty across our state is a key priority for me. To this end, in 2019 we have increased CalWORKs grants by almost 25 percent, increased the amount of earnings families on CalWORKs can retain every month from \$225 to \$600, and increased the level of savings and the value of the car families can have and qualify for CalWORKs. We also increased and expanded California's Earned Income Tax Credit to \$1 billion annually, including an increase of \$1,000 in the credit for families with children under the age of 6.

While I am supportive of increasing the amount of child support passed through to families on CalWORKs, such an increase would have a General Fund impact of tens of millions of dollars annually, thus it should be considered as part of the budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 337 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

37

S.B. No. 349—Portantino et al.

An act relating to taxation, to take effect immediately, tax levy.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 349 without my signature.

This bill would reduce the minimum franchise tax (MFT) for corporations that have less than \$15 million in gross receipts, as specified.

The intent of this measure is to provide tax relief for smaller California businesses and to encourage economic growth. Both are important goals which I support, and helping small businesses is certainly a priority I share with the Legislature. However, this proposal would be better addressed through the annual budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 349 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

38

S.B. No. 363—Pan.

An act relating to workplace safety.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 363 without my signature.

This bill would require the Department of State Hospitals (DSH), the Department of Developmental Services (DDS), and the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) to report specified information regarding assaults on employees that occur in their facilities. Each department must report this information quarterly, within 30 calendar days, to all bargaining units at the department and annually to the Legislature and the respective Chairs of the legislative budget committees. Reporting must be done in a manner that protects the confidentiality of patients, inmates, and employees.

SB 363's goal of ensuring safety for employees is an important one. However, mandating these reporting requirements in state law is unnecessary, as the departments can undertake this reporting administratively. I encourage the Legislature to work on a more appropriate solution to these issues.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 363 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

39

S.B. No. 365—Durazo et al.
An act relating to CalWORKs.
Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 365 without my signature.

This bill would require a California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) applicant to be provided with immediate child care assistance in order to attend work, education, or training.

Lack of access to child care can create a significant barrier to obtaining and maintaining employment. While I support this bill's efforts to increase access to child care and to that end included significant improvements to CalWORKs child care programs in this year's budget, I cannot support SB 365 as it will increase costs by millions of dollars and lead to the provision of services to families ineligible for CalWORKs.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 365 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

40

S.B. No. 428—Pan et al.

An act relating to pupil health.

Vote required: 27

To Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 428 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Education (CDE) to identify an evidence-based training program on youth mental health for Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) to use to train classified and certificated employees who have direct contact with students at each school site.

Providing support for students facing mental health is of critical importance. Multiple public agencies beyond CDE hold a responsibility for addressing the mental health crisis impacting young people today. That is why I worked with the Legislature to appropriate \$50 million in this year's budget to create the Mental Health Student Services Act.

Mental health partnerships among county mental health or behavioral health departments, school districts, charter schools and county offices of education are best positioned to address the diverse mental health needs of young people.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 428 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

41

S.B. No. 445—Portantino.

An act relating to health care.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 445 without my signature.

SB 445 would require the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to establish youth substance use disorder treatment quality standards including certification requirements for programs and professionals, and convene a workgroup to advise the Department on quality standards.

Although I support the author's intent to strengthen substance use disorder treatment services for youth, implementation of this bill requires significant General Fund spending that should be considered through the annual budget process.

I encourage the author and stakeholders to engage with DHCS's recently-formed Behavioral Health Stakeholder Advisory Committee to address concerns regarding youth substance use disorder treatment services.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 445 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

42

S.B. No. 484—Portantino.

An act relating to public postsecondary education.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 484 without my signature.

This bill requires community college districts to identify, notify, and automatically award degrees to students who have completed the requirements for an associate degree for transfer by the end of every academic term. This bill also adds the specified students to an identification system that can be accessed electronically by the University of California, California State University, and certain institutions of higher education by the end of every academic year.

Community colleges should already be identifying and notifying students who have earned associate degrees, associate degrees for transfer, and certificates. The community colleges' apportionments funding formula already provides fiscal incentive for them to do so. Moreover, this bill likely creates a reimbursable state mandate, thereby creating additional cost.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 484 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

43

S.B. No. 487—Caballero.

An act relating to water resources.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 487 without my signature.

This bill requires the Department of Water Resources (DWR) to conduct aerial surveys of the snowpack in the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Range and the Klamath-Trinity Mountains.

While improving California's snowpack survey will improve our understanding of the patterns of snow accumulation and help us develop better forecasting tools for snow melt runoff, this bill results in significant General Fund cost pressures of approximately \$150 million over the next decade. Therefore, it should be considered as part of the budget.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 487 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

44

S.B. No. 503—Pan.

An act relating to Medi-Cal.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 503 without my signature.

This bill would impose several requirements on Medi-Cal managed care health plans (MCPs) related to their oversight of their subcontractors. Chief among SB 503's provisions is the requirement that MCPs, beginning January 1, 2022, conduct annual medical audits of any subcontractor responsible for medical review and decision-making.

Health plans are already required to exercise oversight over their subcontractors and I believe the goals of this bill can be achieved administratively. As such, I direct the Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) to remind MCPs of their contractual responsibilities to monitor their subcontracts, and to include a review of the MCP subcontractor auditing in its audits of MCPs.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 503 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

45

S.B. No. 532—Portantino.

An act relating to redevelopment.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning the following bills without my signature:

AB 411

SB 532

These bills authorize certain cities' redevelopment successor agencies to spend stranded bond assets on affordable housing rather than repaying and cancelling the bonds as required under current law.

The bills will result in a General Fund cost of millions of dollars.

While I appreciate the intent of the Legislature to increase the production of affordable housing, I do not support the proposed exemptions to redevelopment agency dissolution requirements, which will reduce funding available for education.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 532 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

46

S.B. No. 538—Rubio et al.

An act relating to electronic cigarettes, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 538 without my signature.

This bill would require electronic cigarette (e-cigarette) manufacturers to submit a written physical description and photograph of each type of e-cigarette sold in California to the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) for posting on its website.

SB 538's goal of reducing e-cigarette use by California's youth is an important one. My administration is confronting the public health crisis from the increasing use and dangers associated with e-cigarettes, including how best to increase enforcement of e-cigarette requirements, and launching a digital and social media campaign aimed at educating youth, young adults, and parents about the health risks of vaping nicotine and cannabis products. I have also called on the Legislature to pass legislation banning flavored vaping products in the upcoming year.

SB 538 does not provide an enforcement mechanism to ensure compliance from manufacturers, many of which are located out-of-state or overseas. While the bill authorizes CDPH to collect a fee from manufacturers of e-cigarettes sold in the state to pay for the costs of implementing this legislation, the fees collected may not be sufficient to fund the program, creating General Fund cost pressures.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 538 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

47

S.B. No. 575—Bradford et al.
An act relating to Cal Grants.
Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 575 without my signature.

This bill would authorize incarcerated individuals to be eligible for Cal Grant awards for postsecondary education.

Expanding access to higher education for incarcerated students is the right thing to do. Currently, many incarcerated students currently receive higher education at no cost through the California Community Colleges. Only a very small population would benefit from this bill because of the limited amount of Cal Grant competitive awards available and the age cap on that program of twenty-eight.

I am committed to taking steps to substantially expand access to higher education opportunities for incarcerated students in a thoughtful and more universal way, and will consider options in the context of the budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 575 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

48

S.B. No. 622—Durazo et al.

An act relating to civil detention facilities.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 622 without my signature, as I am concerned its goal of providing for state investigations of deaths of individuals under federal custody will not be achieved.

The Trump Administration has weaponized our immigration and detention systems for political gain, demonizing migrants and asylum seekers and instilling fear in immigrant communities. Time and time again, we have seen reports of deplorable living conditions, disease outbreaks and human rights abuses in these detention centers.

That is why California is leading by prohibiting private, for-profit prisons and detention facilities. I believe that closing these facilities needs to be our focus as it is the best way to address these injustices.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 622 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

SENATE SUPPLEMENTAL FILE
GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

49

S.B. No. 704—Bradford et al.

An act relating to telecommunications.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 704 without my signature.

This bill clarifies the definition of a household for the purposes of California LifeLine telephone service (LifeLine) program eligibility, requires the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to update outreach and enrollment rules for the program, and requires the CPUC to determine whether a lifeline participant should be allowed to obtain an additional lifeline subscription for broadband services.

As LifeLine recently undertook a significant expansion through two new pilot programs that are still ongoing, this bill is premature. Additionally, while this bill may increase access to the LifeLine program, this bill has the potential to more than double the size and cost of the program and should be addressed through the budget.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 704 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)

GOVERNOR'S VETOES—Continued

50

S.B. No. 706—Galgiani.

An act relating to public health.

Vote required: 27

To the Members of the California State Senate:

I am returning Senate Bill 706 without my signature.

This bill would require the California Department of Public Health to convene a pulmonary hypertension (PH) task force (Task Force) for the purposes of aggregating and disseminating the latest information and research relating to PH and pediatric PH. SB 706 would specify the composition and duties of the Task Force, which include developing and updating a comprehensive strategic plan to improve the health outcomes for those diagnosed with these conditions.

SB 706's goal of improving health outcomes for individuals diagnosed with pulmonary hypertension is important; however, this proposal should be considered in the budget process.

Sincerely,

Gavin Newsom

2019

Oct. 13—Shall Senate Bill 706 become a law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor? (Must be considered pursuant to Joint Rule 58.5.)