

No. 128

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE
AT SACRAMENTO
2025–26 REGULAR SESSION

Senate Supplemental File #1



Compiled Under the Direction of
ERIKA CONTRERAS
Secretary of the Senate

By
CLAUDIA FUENTES
Daily File Clerk
and
MADISON HINOJOSA
Assistant Daily File Clerk

SENATE CONVENES AT 10 A.M.

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 2025

(FLOOR SESSION)

ONE HUNDRED TWENTY – EIGHTH DAY IN SESSION

(Please report any errors or omissions to Daily File Clerk: Phone 916-651-4171)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

85

S.B. No. 22—Laird.

An act relating to consumer protection.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 22, as it passed the Senate, required an issuer of gift certificates to display at the cash register a notice of the right of the holder to redeem a gift certificate for cash, as provided. SB 22, as it passed the Senate, amended an existing provision requiring a gift certificate with a cash value of less than \$10 to be redeemable in cash for its cash value to increase that amount to \$25, rounded to the nearest whole dollar amount.

The Assembly amendments, instead, make the qualifying amount for the above-described redemption requirement \$15, exempt from that redemption requirement certain gift certificates, and make these provisions operative on April 1, 2026. The Assembly amendments also remove the above-described notice requirement and expand the definition of “gift certificate” to include electronic gift cards.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—27. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

86

S.B. No. 24—McNerney et al.

An act relating to public utilities.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

87

S.B. No. 34—Richardson.

An act relating to air pollution.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 34, as it passed the Senate, required an action taken by the South Coast Air Quality Management District to amend or adopt a specified indirect source rule applicable to commercial marine ports, and other specified actions taken by the south coast district regarding an operation or marine terminal facility at a public seaport, to meet certain criteria and to refrain from meeting other specified criteria. SB 34, as it passed the Senate, repealed its provisions on January 1, 2036.

The Assembly amendments revise and recast these provisions to instead require an action taken by the south coast district to adopt or amend a rule or regulation that imposes new or additional emissions reduction requirements on sources of air pollution associated with an operation at the Ports of Long Beach or Los Angeles to meet a revised list of criteria and to refrain from meeting another revised list of criteria. The Assembly amendments repeal the bill's provisions on January 1, 2031.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—31. NOES—1.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

88

S.B. No. 37—Umberg.

An act relating to attorneys.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 37, as it passed the Senate, among other things, required a legal advertisement to contain specified information conspicuously displayed, or intelligible, if spoken, including, among other things, the name of at least one lawyer licensed to practice law in California, the law firm, or the certified lawyer referral service responsible for the advertisement.

The Assembly amendments provide that this requirement may also be satisfied by including the joint advertiser responsible for the advertisement together with the name of each lawyer or law firm participating in the joint advertisement, as specified, provide that permissible joint advertising by attorneys for legal services, among other things, requires that each participating attorney or law firm execute a joint advertising agreement, as specified, under which the attorney or law firm expressly takes liability for the content of the advertising, and extend the application of the State Bar review procedure for false, misleading, or deceptive legal advertising to individuals or entities that participate in joint legal advertising arrangements, as specified. The Assembly amendments add a definition of “electronic medium” for purposes of the provisions governing false and deceptive legal advertising, and limit a 72-hour deadline to withdraw an advertisement from broadcast for which the State Bar has determined that substantial evidence of a violation exists to electronic media, and for any other medium require notice of withdrawal to be submitted to the State Bar within 72 hours and withdrawal to occur as soon as practicable, not to exceed 30 days. The Assembly amendments exclude broadcasters that disseminate advertisements from specified provisions regulating legal advertisement content, and expand the types of violations that would be a cause for licensee discipline, including, but not limited to, the provision prohibiting an advertisement from containing any false, misleading, or deceptive statement. The Assembly amendments make various conforming and related changes.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—36. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

89

S.B. No. 79—Wiener et al.
An act relating to land use.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, required a housing development project within a specified distance of a transit-oriented development (TOD) stop to be an allowed use on any site zoned for residential, mixed, or commercial development, if the development complies with applicable requirements, as specified. SB 79 established requirements concerning heights limits, density, and residential floor area ratio in accordance with a development's proximity to specified tiers of TOD stops, as provided. SB 79 required a proposed development to comply with specified requirements under existing law relating to the demolition of existing residential units and to include housing for lower income households by complying with a local zoning ordinance or affordable housing fee or qualifying for a density bonus, as specified. SB 79 required that, for the purposes of the Housing Accountability Act, a proposed development consistent with the applicable standards be deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with prescribed requirements, as specified. SB 79 provided that a local government that denies a development meeting the requirements located in a high resource area would be presumed in violation of the Housing Accountability Act and immediately liable for penalties.

The Assembly amendments instead require that a housing development project be an allowed use a TOD housing development on any site zoned for residential, mixed, or commercial development within a specified distance of a TOD stop if the development complies with the above-described requirements. The Assembly amendments make various revisions to the requirements applicable to a TOD housing development project under these provisions, including additionally requiring the development to additionally include at least 5 dwelling units and revising various of the requirements concerning height limits, density, and residential floor area ratio and tiers of TOD stops. The Assembly requirements additionally require that the development comply with specified demolition and antidisplacement standards; to not be located on sites where the development would require demolition of housing, or that were previously used for housing, that is subject to rent or price controls; to be consistent with specified height, noise, safety, and fire standards; and meet specified labor standards, as provided. The Assembly amendments revise requirements regarding including housing for lower income households

(CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

by requiring dedication of units to low-income households, as specified. The Assembly amendments require that, for the purposes of the Housing Accountability Act, a proposed development that complies with applicable local objective general plan and zoning standards, as specified, in addition to the applicable standards, be deemed consistent, compliant, and in conformity with prescribed requirements for purposes of the Housing Accountability Act, and make the presumption of a violation of the Housing Accountability Act operative on January 1, 2027. The Assembly amendments delay operation of these provisions for local agencies until July 1, 2026, except as specified, but would further delay operation of these provisions within unincorporated areas of counties until the 7th regional housing needs allocation cycle.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, made a housing development project under the above-described provisions eligible for streamlined, ministerial approval pursuant to specified law, except that SB 79 exempted the development from, among other requirements, the requirement that the development not be located within a coastal zone that is not zoned for multifamily housing.

The Assembly amendments remove that exemption from the above-described requirement for streamlined, ministerial approval.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, authorized a transit agency to adopt objective standards for both residential and commercial development proposed to be constructed on land owned by the transit agency or on which the transit agency has a permanent operating easement, if the land is within one-half mile of TOD stop, as specified.

The Assembly amendments instead authorize a transit agency's board of directors to adopt agency TOD zoning standards for district-owned property located in a TOD zone, which establish minimum zoning requirements for an agency TOD project, as provided.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, authorized a local government to enact a local TOD alternative plan as an amendment to the housing element and land use element of its general plan, subject to review by the department, as provided. SB 79 exempted a local government that enacted a local TOD alternative plan from the provisions regarding a housing development project.

The Assembly amendments revises the requirements of the local TOD alternative plan. The Assembly amendments instead, prior to one year following the 7th revision of the housing element, would exclude from the above-described provisions relating to a housing development project to specified sites for which a local government has adopted an ordinance indicating the site's exclusion, as specified,

(CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

including a site that is covered by a local TOD alternative plan adopted by a local government. For the 7th and subsequent revisions of the housing element, the Assembly amendments would authorize a local government to include a local TOD alternative plan in its housing element or adopt an alternative plan by ordinance, as specified.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, required the Department of Housing and Community Development to oversee compliance with the bill's provisions, including promulgating standards on how to account for capacity pursuant to the bill's provisions in the inventory of land included within a county's or city's housing element. SB 79 authorized the regional council of governments or metropolitan planning organization to create a map of designated TOD stops and zones, with a rebuttable presumption of validity. SB 79 authorized a local government to enact an ordinance to make its zoning code consistent with the bill's provisions and required the local government to submit a copy of this ordinance to the department within 60 days of enactment for review. If the department determined that the ordinance does not comply, SB 79 required the department to notify the local government in writing and provide the local government a reasonable time, not to exceed 30 days, to respond before taking further action.

The Assembly amendments revise these provisions to require the department to promulgate standards on how to allow for capacity pursuant to the bill's provisions to be counted in the inventory of land, no later than July 1, 2026. The Assembly amendments removes the authorization of the regional council of governments to create a map of designated TOD stops and zones and, instead, require each metropolitan planning organization to create a map of designated TOD stops and zones within its region by tier in accordance with the department's standards. The Assembly amendments require a local government to submit a draft of the ordinance to the department for review at least 14 days prior to adoption of the ordinance. The Assembly amendments require the department to review the enacted ordinance within 90 days, as specified, and require the department to notify the local government in writing if at any time the department determines the ordinance does not comply. The Assembly amendments extend the period in which a local government may respond to the department's finding of noncompliance to no more than 60 days. The Assembly amendments authorize the ordinance to designate areas within 12 mile of a TOD stops as exempt from the bill's provisions, as specified.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, exempted from the California Environmental Quality Act a public or private residential, commercial, or mixed-used project that, at the time the project application is filed, is located entirely or principally on land

(CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

owned by a public transit agency, or fully or partially encumbered by an existing operating easement in favor of a public transit agency, and meets specified requirements, as provided.

The Assembly amendments remove this exemption.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, defined various terms for its purposes and made related findings and declarations.

The Assembly amendments makes various changes to definitions and related findings and declarations.

SB 79, as it passed the Senate, provided that no reimbursement of costs mandated by the state to local agencies or school districts is required for a specified reason.

The Assembly amendments provides that no reimbursement is required for an additional reason.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—21. NOES—13.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

90

S.B. No. 258—Wahab et al.

An act relating to crimes.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—37. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

91

S.B. No. 364—Strickland et al.

An act relating to outdoor advertising.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—38. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

92

S.B. No. 400—Cortese.

An act relating to labor, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—36. NOES—0.)

2025

Aug. 28—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

Aug. 29—Re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Senate Rule 29.10(d). Action rescinded whereby bill was re-referred to Com. on RLS. pursuant to Senate Rule 29.10(d). Ordered to the Assembly.

Aug. 29—In Assembly. Held at Desk.

Sep. 2—Action rescinded whereby bill was read third time, Urgency clause adopted, passed, and ordered to the Senate. Ordered to third reading.

Sep. 3—Read third time and amended. Ordered to third reading.

Sep. 11—Read third time. Urgency clause adopted. Passed. Ordered to the Senate.

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

93

S.B. No. 403—Blakespear.

An act relating to public health.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—26. NOES—6.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

94

S.B. No. 596—Menjivar.

An act relating to health facilities.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—24. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

95

S.B. No. 643—Caballero et al.

An act relating to climate change.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—37. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

96

S.B. No. 645—Umberg.

An act relating to juries.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—39. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

97

S.B. No. 753—Cortese.

An act relating to business.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—39. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

98

S.B. No. 761—Ashby et al.

An act relating to public social services.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—39. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

99

S.B. No. 774—Ashby.

An act relating to professions and vocations, and making an appropriation therefor.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—38. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

100

S.B. No. 838—Durazo.

An act relating to land use.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—23. NOES—11.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

101

S.B. No. 7—McNerney et al.

An act relating to employment.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 7, as it passed the Senate, imposed various duties on an employer, or a vendor engaged by the employer, relating to the use of automated decision systems (ADS) in the workplace for the purpose of making employment-related decisions, including, but not limited to, by requiring certain notice to be provided to a worker who will be directly or indirectly affected by the ADS, or their authorized representative, to a job applicant upon receiving their application, and a worker affected by an employment-related decision that was made using an ADS, as specified. SB 7, as it passed the Senate, prohibited an employer, or a vendor engaged by the employer, from using an ADS for specified purposes, required an employer to allow a worker to access and correct worker data collected or used by an ADS, as specified, and required an employer to allow a worker to appeal an employment-related decision for which an ADS was used. SB 7, as it passed the Senate, defined various terms for these purposes.

The Assembly amendments remove the requirements imposed on vendors engaged by the employer and make various changes to the conditions for giving the aforementioned types of notices and to the contents of the notices. The Assembly amendments also make various changes to the prohibitions on the use of an ADS and to the conditions for requesting worker data. The Assembly amendments delete the appeal provisions.

SB 7, as it passed the Senate, authorized the Labor Commissioner, any worker who suffered a violation, or the worker's exclusive representative, to bring a civil action, as specified, and imposed a civil penalty of \$500 per violation.

The Assembly amendments delete the provisions authorizing the worker, or the representative, to bring a civil action and delete the provision specifying that the \$500 civil penalty be assessed per violation. The Assembly amendments exclude parties covered by a certain collective bargaining agreements from the scope of the bill, provide that the bill does not prohibit an employer from complying with regulatory or contractual requirements in the provision of products or services to the federal government, and declare that an employer is subject to privacy-related automated decisionmaking technology regulations adopted by the California Privacy Protection Agency, among other things. The Assembly amendments also amend the

(CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

definitions and make other technical and conforming changes.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—27. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

102

S.B. No. 27—Umberg.

An act relating to courts.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—39. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

103

S.B. No. 105—Wiener.

An act relating to the state budget, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, budget bill.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

104

S.B. No. 146—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to human services, and making an appropriation therefore, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

105

S.B. No. 147—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to education finance, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 147, as it passed the Senate, expressed the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes relating to the Budget Act of 2025.

The Assembly amendments delete the contents of SB 147 and instead make various statutory changes relating to education finance in order to implement the Budget Act of 2025, include nonsubstantive changes to address possible chartering conflicts with AB 378, revise the listed authors, and declare that the bill is to take effect immediately as a bill providing for appropriations related to the Budget Bill.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

106

S.B. No. 148—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to postsecondary education, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 148, as it passed the Senate, expressed the intent of the Legislature to enact statutory changes relating to the Budget Act of 2025.

The Assembly amendments delete the contents of SB 148 and instead make various statutory changes relating to postsecondary education in order to implement the Budget Act of 2025, include nonsubstantive changes to address possible chartering conflicts with SB 271, AB 88, and SB 67, and declare that the bill is to take effect immediately as a bill providing for appropriations related to the Budget Bill.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

107

S.B. No. 151—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to early childhood education and childcare, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

108

S.B. No. 153—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to transportation, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

109

S.B. No. 155—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to economic development, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

110

S.B. No. 156—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to labor, and making an appropriation therefore, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

111

S.B. No. 157—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to public safety, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

112

S.B. No. 159—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to taxation, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

113

S.B. No. 160—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to background checks, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

114

S.B. No. 161—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to state employment, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

115

S.B. No. 162—Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review.

An act relating to elections, and making an appropriation therefor, to take effect immediately, bill related to the budget.

Digest of Assembly Amendments Pending

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—28. NOES—10.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS—Continued

116

S.B. No. 293—Pérez.

An act relating to taxation.

Legislative Counsel's Digest of Assembly Amendments

SB 293, as it passed the Senate, required that a filing for specified exclusions from reassessment for property tax purposes be treated as timely filed if the filing is made within 3 years of the date of mailing of a notice of supplemental or escape assessment if specified requirements are met, including a requirement that the assessor reassesses the property due to a misfortune or calamity in an area proclaimed a state of emergency.

The Assembly amendments, restrict the above-described requirement to filings for property that was impacted by specified wildfires in the County of Los Angeles and the County of Ventura. The Assembly amendments also require that filings for a homeowners' exemption or a disabled veterans' exemption from real property tax be treated as timely if the filing is made before January 1, 2031, and is made within a year of the date of a notice of supplemental or escape assessment if specified requirements are met.

Vote: 21. Substantial substantive change: yes.

(Final vote in the Senate: AYES—38. NOES—0.)

2025

Sep. 12—In Senate. Concurrence in Assembly amendments pending.